



**Appendix to:
Efficient European and American Option
Pricing Under a Jump-diffusion Process**

Marcellino Gaudenzi
Alice Spangaro
Patrizia Stucchi

febbraio 2020

n. 1 / 2020

Appendix to: Efficient European and American Option Pricing Under a Jump-diffusion Process

Marcellino Gaudenzi, Alice Spangaro, Patrizia Stucchi

Università di Udine, Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche e Statistiche, via Tomadini 30/A, Udine

Abstract

This paper constitutes the Appendix of the article “Efficient European and American option pricing under a jump-diffusion process”. Here are detailed the proofs that could not be part of the main sections of the article, for length and readability reasons. Every section is dedicated to a proof, starts with the recollection of the statement of the lemma, proposition or theorem involved and continues with its proof.

1. Proof of Lemma 5.10

Lemma:

$$Q_N^{\bar{k}}(k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Q}_N(2\bar{k} - k + 2i)$$

$$Q_N^{\bar{l}}(k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Q}_N(2\bar{l} + k + 2i)$$

for all $-\bar{l} \leq k \leq \bar{k}$.

Proof:

The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 5.4. When the original path first trespasses the \bar{k} level, it can reach level $\bar{k} + 1, \dots, \bar{k} + N$. Therefore its reflection (defined as in Lemma 5.4) can end at level $2\bar{k} - k + 2, 2\bar{k} - k + 4, \dots, 2\bar{k} - k + 2N$. Likewise for the paths that cross the $-\bar{l}$ level.

◇

2. Proof of Proposition 5.11

Proposition: *Given G and W_N as defined in Equation (4.3) in the main article, for integers $0 \leq k, \bar{k} \leq Nn$ we have:*

$$\text{For } k \geq N[2W_N - 1] \quad \tilde{Q}_N(k) \leq G \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor!} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{For } \bar{k} \geq N[2W_N - 1] \quad \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{Nn} \tilde{Q}_N(k) \leq 2GN \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor!} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{For } \bar{k} \geq N[2e^{Nh}W_N - 1] \quad \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{Nn} e^{hk} \tilde{Q}_N(k) \leq 2G \frac{(e^{hN}W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{For } \bar{k} \geq N[2W_N - 1] \quad \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{Nn} e^{-hk} \tilde{Q}_N(-k) \leq 2G \frac{(e^{-hN}W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \quad (4)$$

Proof:

We need an upper estimate of the probability $Q_N(k)$ of reaching level $k \geq 0$ in the jump dynamics. This will allow us to obtain an upper estimate of how much the value of the option in (n, j, k) for some j contributes to the current value.

We recall that for a fixed N , in a single timestep Δt the possible jump moves are $-Nh, \dots, -h, 0, h, \dots, Nh$. For simplicity, in the following we will talk about $-N, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N$ jumps.

Level $k \geq 0$ at maturity can be reached with a variety of possible combinations of jumps. In order to consider all the possible paths that arrive at level k in n timesteps, exactly as we did in the $N = 1$ case, we distinguish between the positive and the negative jumps: if $k \geq 0$ is the total balance and the sum of all negative jumps is $-l$, then the sum of all positive jumps must be $k + l$, with $l \geq 0$. $Q_N(k)$ is the sum of all probabilities of reaching balance level k with a negative balance of $-l$, over all possible non negative l , subject to the condition of a total of n moves.

Let us denote by e_j^- the number of $-j$ jumps and e_j^+ the number of j jumps in a path, for $j = 1, \dots, N$.

With this notation, the probability $Q_N(k)$ of reaching at maturity level $k \geq 0$ for the jump dynamics is given by:

$$Q_N(k) = \sum_l \sum_{e_N^+} \dots \sum_{e_1^+} \sum_{e_N^-} \dots \sum_{e_1^-} C(e_N^+, e_N^-, e_{N-1}^+, e_{N-1}^-, \dots, e_1^+, e_1^-) q_{+N}^{e_N^+} \dots q_{+1}^{e_1^+} q_{-N}^{e_N^-} \dots q_{-1}^{e_1^-} q_0^{e_0}$$

where the e_0 exponent is given by $n - \sum_{i=1}^N e_i^+ - \sum_{i=1}^N e_i^-$, and $C(e_N^+, e_N^-, e_{N-1}^+, e_{N-1}^-, \dots, e_1^+, e_1^-)$ denotes the

number of combinations of the n factors, once the exponents are fixed, and is equal to

$$C(e_N^+, e_N^-, e_{N-1}^+, e_{N-1}^-, \dots, e_1^+, e_1^-) = \frac{n!}{e_N^+! e_N^-! e_{N-1}^+! e_{N-1}^-! \dots e_1^+! e_1^-! e_0!}.$$

While in the $N = 1$ setting, a $-l$ negative balance meant l jumps of the $-h$ kind, and similarly a $k + l$ positive balance meant $k + l$ jumps of the $+h$ kind, here the situation is complicated by the possibility of different jump amplitudes, so extra care is needed in order to understand the relation between l and the exponents e_i^+ , e_i^- .

We use Euclidean division in order to write l as a multiple of N plus a remainder $0 \leq r_N^- \leq N - 1$: $l = Nz + r_N^-$. This means that the negative balance $-l$ is due to at most z jumps of the $-N$ kind, and the difference between Nz and l shall be covered with smaller jumps.

Instead of summing over all possible l , then, it will be easier to consider the summation over all possible z and $0 \leq r_N^- \leq N - 1$.

For any fixed z and r_N^- , we will have at most z jumps of the $-N$ kind, therefore we need to vary e_N^- between 0 and z ; the choice of e_N^- sets additional constraints for e_{N-1}^- , and proceeding backwards the choice of every e_i^- sets additional constraints for e_{i-1}^- . We apply the same idea to the positive balance $k + l$: given k , the values t and $0 \leq r_N \leq N - 1$ such that $k = Nt + r_N$ are uniquely determined; therefore for any given pair of z and r_N^- the positive balance can be written as $N(t + z) + r_N + r_N^-$. This provides the limitation for e_N^+ , and the choice of every e_j^+ imposes further conditions on the possible values for e_{j-1}^+ .

In order to better express the relationships and mutual limitations between exponents, we need a change in perspective in the summations.

For any fixed z , let us define $b_{N-1} = z - e_N^-$. Of the negative balance $-(Nz + r_N^-)$, then, $-Ne_N^-$ will be covered by $-N$ jumps and the rest, $-(Nb_{N-1} + r_N^-)$, by jumps of smaller amplitude. Instead of summing over e_N^- from 0 to z , we sum over b_{N-1} , that is over how many of the $-Nz$ are covered by jumps of amplitude smaller than N .

Once fixed z , r_N^- and e_N^- , we have a negative balance of $-(Nb_{N-1} + r_N^-)$ to cover with negative jumps of amplitude at most $N - 1$: we compute the Euclidean division of $Nb_{N-1} + r_N^-$ by $N - 1$: the quotient $z_{N-1} = \lfloor \frac{Nb_{N-1} + r_N^-}{N-1} \rfloor$ is an upper bound (we shall consider the more stringent between this value and the condition of a total of n moves), and we call r_{N-1}^- the remainder. Once again, instead of summing over e_{N-1}^- , we sum over $b_{N-2} = z_{N-1} - e_{N-1}^-$.

We repeatedly use Euclidean division in order to find the upper bounds for all e_j^- , and operate in the same way for the positive jumps, where we similarly introduce the a_j and r_j^+ values.

The probability $Q_N(k)$ of reaching at maturity level $k \geq 0$ for the jump dynamics can then be written as:

$$Q_N(k) = \sum_{r_N^- = 0}^{N-1} \sum_z \sum_{a_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{a_1} \sum_{b_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{b_1} \frac{n!}{e_N^+! e_N^-! e_{N-1}^+! e_{N-1}^-! \cdots e_1^+! e_1^-! e_0!} q_{+N}^{e_N^+} \cdots q_{+1}^{e_1^+} q_{-N}^{e_N^-} \cdots q_{-1}^{e_1^-} q_0^{e_0}.$$

The indices a_j (b_j) are indicators of how much of the total positive (respectively, negative) balance is due to moves of amplitude at most j , and are related to the exponents in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} e_N^- &= z - b_{N-1} & e_N^+ &= t + z + \left\lfloor \frac{r_N + r_N^-}{N} \right\rfloor - a_{N-1} \\ e_i^- &= \left\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i + r_{i+1}^-}{i} \right\rfloor - b_{i-1} \text{ where } r_i^- \text{ is the remainder of } \frac{(i+1)b_i + r_{i+1}^-}{i} \text{ for } 1 < i < N \\ e_i^+ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(i+1)a_i + r_{i+1}^+}{i} \right\rfloor - a_{i-1} \text{ where } r_i^+ \text{ is the remainder of } \frac{(i+1)a_i + r_{i+1}^+}{i} \text{ for } 1 < i < N \\ e_1^- &= 2b_1 + r_2^- & e_1^+ &= 2a_1 + r_2^+ \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $c_{\pm i}$ with w_i , we obtain

$$\tilde{Q}_N(k) = \sum_{r_N^- = 0}^{N-1} \sum_z \sum_{a_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{a_1} \sum_{b_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{b_1} \frac{n!}{e_N^+! e_N^-! e_{N-1}^+! e_{N-1}^-! \cdots e_1^+! e_1^-! e_0!} \frac{w_N^{e_N^+} \cdots w_1^{e_1^+} w_N^{e_N^-} \cdots w_1^{e_1^-}}{n^{\sum_{i=1}^N e_i^+ + \sum_{i=1}^N e_i^-}} q_0^{e_0}$$

Since $q_0 \leq 1$ and $\frac{n!}{e_0! n^{\sum_{i=1}^N e_i^+ + \sum_{i=1}^N e_i^-}} \leq 1$:

$$\tilde{Q}_N(k) \leq \sum_{r_N^- = 0}^{N-1} \sum_z \sum_{a_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{a_1} \frac{w_N^{e_N^+} \cdots w_1^{e_1^+}}{e_N^+! e_{N-1}^+! \cdots e_1^+!} \sum_{b_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{b_1} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-} \cdots w_1^{e_1^-}}{e_N^-! e_{N-1}^-! \cdots e_1^-!}$$

We treat separately the positive and the negative parts, and we work from the inside outwards.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_{N-1}} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-}}{e_N^-!} \cdots \sum_{b_3} \frac{w_4^{e_4^-}}{e_4^-!} \sum_{b_2} \frac{w_3^{e_3^-}}{e_3^-!} \sum_{b_1} \frac{w_2^{e_2^-} w_1^{e_1^-}}{e_2^-! e_1^-!} = \\ &= \sum_{b_{N-1}} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-}}{e_N^-!} \cdots \sum_{b_3} \frac{w_4^{e_4^-}}{e_4^-!} \sum_{b_2} \frac{w_3^{e_3^-}}{e_3^-!} \sum_{b_1} \frac{w_2^{\left\lfloor \frac{3b_2 + r_3^-}{2} \right\rfloor - b_1} w_1^{2b_1 + r_2^-}}{\left(\left\lfloor \frac{3b_2 + r_3^-}{2} \right\rfloor - b_1 \right)! (2b_1 + r_2^-)!} \\ &\leq \sum_{b_{N-1}} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-}}{e_N^-!} \cdots \sum_{b_3} \frac{w_4^{e_4^-}}{e_4^-!} \sum_{b_2} \frac{w_3^{e_3^-}}{e_3^-!} w_1^{r_2^-} \frac{(w_2 + w_1^2)^{\left\lfloor \frac{3b_2 + r_3^-}{2} \right\rfloor}}{\left\lfloor \frac{3b_2 + r_3^-}{2} \right\rfloor!} \end{aligned}$$

Since r_2^- is the remainder of $\frac{3b_2+r_3^-}{2}$, it can only assume the values 0 or 1; therefore we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_{N-1}} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-}}{e_N^-!} \cdots \sum_{b_3} \frac{w_4^{e_4^-}}{e_4^-!} \sum_{b_2} \frac{w_3^{e_3^-}}{e_3^-!} w_1^{r_2^-} \frac{(w_2 + w_1^2)^{\lfloor \frac{3b_2+r_3^-}{2} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{3b_2+r_3^-}{2} \rfloor!} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{b_{N-1}} \frac{w_N^{e_N^-}}{e_N^-!} \cdots \sum_{b_3} \frac{w_4^{e_4^-}}{e_4^-!} \sum_{b_2} \frac{w_3^{\lfloor \frac{4b_3+r_4^-}{3} \rfloor - b_2}}{\left(\lfloor \frac{4b_3+r_4^-}{3} \rfloor - b_2\right)!} \max\{w_1, 1\} \frac{(w_2 + w_1^2)^{\frac{3b_2+r_3^- - r_2^-}{2}}}{\frac{3b_2+r_3^- - r_2^-}{2}!} \end{aligned}$$

According to the definitions in Equation (4.3) in the main article, $\max\{w_1, 1\} = \max\{W_1^1, W_1^0\} = M_1$, and $w_2 + w_1^2 = W_2$.

In general, we take care of the sum over b_{i-1} , for $1 < i < N$, in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_{i-1}} \frac{w_i^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}}}{\left(\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}\right)!} \frac{W_{i-1}^{\lfloor \frac{ib_{i-1}+r_i^-}{i-1} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{ib_{i-1}+r_i^-}{i-1} \rfloor!} = \\ & = \sum_{b_{i-1}} \frac{w_i^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}}}{\left(\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}\right)!} \frac{W_{i-1}^{\frac{ib_{i-1}+r_i^- - r_{i-1}^-}{i-1}}}{\lfloor \frac{ib_{i-1}+r_i^-}{i-1} \rfloor!} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{b_{i-1}} \frac{w_i^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}}}{\left(\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}\right)!} \frac{(W_{i-1}^{\frac{i}{i-1}})^{b_{i-1}}}{b_{i-1}!} W_{i-1}^{\frac{r_i^- - r_{i-1}^-}{i-1}} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{b_{i-1}} \frac{w_i^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}}}{\left(\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor - b_{i-1}\right)!} \frac{(W_{i-1}^{\frac{i}{i-1}})^{b_{i-1}}}{b_{i-1}!} \max\{W_{i-1}, W_{i-1}^{\frac{i-2}{i-1}}\} = \\ & = M_{i-1} \frac{(w_i + W_{i-1}^{\frac{i}{i-1}})^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor!} = M_{i-1} \frac{W_i^{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{(i+1)b_i+r_{i+1}^-}{i} \rfloor!} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the sum over a_{i-1} , for $2 \leq i < N$. Proceeding in this way for both the negative and the

positive balance parts of the summation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_N(k) &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} M_j^2 \sum_{r_N=0}^{N-1} \sum_z \frac{W_N^z}{z!} \frac{W_N^{t+z+\lfloor \frac{r_N+r_N}{N} \rfloor}}{(t+z+\lfloor \frac{r_N+r_N}{N} \rfloor)!} \\
&\leq \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} M_j^2 \sum_z \frac{W_N^z}{z!} \frac{W_N^{t+z}}{(t+z)!} \sum_{r_N=0}^{N-1} W_N^{\lfloor \frac{r_N+r_N}{N} \rfloor} \\
&\leq \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} M_j^2 \sum_z \frac{W_N^z}{z!} \sum_z \frac{W_N^{t+z}}{(t+z)!} N \max\{W_N, 1\} \\
&\leq N \max\{W_N, 1\} \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} M_j^2 e^{W_N} \cdot 2 \frac{W_N^t}{t!}
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 2W_N - 1$. Calling $G = 2N \max\{W_N, 1\} \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} M_j^2 e^{W_N}$ we have Equation (1) for $k \geq N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil$.

Now we apply the previous inequality to the summation $\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{Nn} \bar{Q}_N(k)$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} \bar{Q}_N(k) &\leq \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} G \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor!} \\
&\leq 2GN \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor!}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $\bar{k} \geq N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil$.

We apply again Equation (1) to the summation $\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} e^{hk} \bar{Q}_N(k)$; for $\bar{k} \geq N\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \rceil$ we have:

$$\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} e^{hk} \bar{Q}_N(k) \leq \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{Nn} e^{hk} G \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \rfloor!} \leq G \sum_{t=\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}^{+\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hNt+hr} \frac{W_N^t}{t!} \leq 2G \frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr}. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, we obtain the analogous inequality for $\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} e^{-hk} \bar{Q}_N(-k)$ with $\bar{k} \geq N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil$.

◇

3. Proof of Theorem 4.1

Theorem: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, considering V the HS European call option value, taking

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{N\lceil e^{hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0 G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_+ \rceil - 1, N\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \rceil - 1\} \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{l} \geq \max\{N\lceil e^{-hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0 G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_- \rceil - 1, N\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \rceil - 1\} \quad (7)$$

with k_+ and k_- the following constants,

$$k_+ = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} e^{2hN} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr}$$

$$k_- = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr},$$

we have that the European call option value V^{TT} obtained via truncation of the tree at levels \bar{k} and $-\bar{l}$ satisfies:

$$V - V^{TT} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof:

Combining Equation (5.3) in the main article,

$$V - V^{PT} \leq e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 \left(\sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} e^{hk} \tilde{Q}_N(k) + \sum_{k=\bar{l}+1}^{Nn} e^{-hk} \tilde{Q}_N(k) \right)$$

and Equation (5.7) in the main article, to which we apply Lemma 5.10,

$$V^{PT} - V^{TT} \leq e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} e^{hk} (Q_N^{\bar{k}}(k) + Q_{N\bar{l}}(k))$$

$$\leq e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 \left(\sum_{s=\bar{k}+2}^{2\bar{k}+\bar{l}+2} e^{h(2\bar{k}-s+2)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tilde{Q}_N(s+2i) + \sum_{s=\bar{l}+2}^{2\bar{l}+\bar{k}+2} e^{h(s-2\bar{l}-2)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tilde{Q}_N(s+2i) \right)$$

the difference between V and V^{TT} is less or equal than the sum of four discarded parts:

$$V - V^{TT} \leq e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 \left(\sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} e^{hk} \tilde{Q}_N(k) + \sum_{k=\bar{l}+1}^{Nn} e^{-hk} \tilde{Q}_N(k) + e^{h(2\bar{k}+2)} \sum_{s=\bar{k}+2}^{Nn} e^{-hs} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tilde{Q}_N(s+2i) + e^{h(-2\bar{l}-2)} \sum_{s=\bar{l}+2}^{Nn} e^{hs} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tilde{Q}_N(s+2i) \right)$$

By Proposition 5.11:

$$V - V^{TT} \leq e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G \left(2 \frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + 2 \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + \right. \quad (8)$$

$$\left. + e^{h(2\bar{k}+2)} \sum_{s=\bar{k}+2}^{Nn} e^{-hs} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s+2i}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s+2i}{N} \rfloor!} + e^{h(-2\bar{l}-2)} \sum_{s'=\bar{l}+2}^{Nn} e^{hs'} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s'+2i}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s'+2i}{N} \rfloor!} \right) \quad (9)$$

where we operated the substitutions $s = 2\bar{k} - k + 2$, $s' = 2\bar{l} + k + 2$ and $G = 2N \max\{W_N, 1\} e^{W_N} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} M_i^2$, and considered $\bar{k} \geq N\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \rceil - 1$ and $\bar{l} \geq N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil - 1$.

Since $\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{s+2i}{N} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor + 2$ for $0 \leq i < N$, we have that $\frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s+2i}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s+2i}{N} \rfloor!} \leq \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor!} \cdot \max\{W_N^2, 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V - V^{TT} &\leq 2e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G \left(\frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \right) + \\ &\quad + e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \left(e^{h(2\bar{k}+2)} \sum_{s=\bar{k}+2}^{Nn} e^{-hs} \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor!} + e^{h(-2\bar{l}-2)} \sum_{s=\bar{l}+2}^{Nn} e^{hs} \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor!} \right) \\ &\leq 2e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G \left(\frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \right) + \\ &\quad + 2e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \left(e^{2h(\bar{k}+1)} \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + e^{-2h(\bar{l}+1)} \frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\bar{k}, \bar{l} \geq N\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \rceil - 1$. Since we also have $hs \leq hN \lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor + hN$ and $-hs \leq -hN \lfloor \frac{s}{N} \rfloor$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} V - V^{TT} &\leq 2e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G \left[\frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \left(e^{2hN} \frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} \right) \right] \\ &\leq 2e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G \left[\frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} e^{2hN} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \right) + \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

In order to have the desired inequality, $V - V^{TT} < \varepsilon$, we ask:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(e^{hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} e^{2hN} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} \right) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G} \\ \frac{(e^{-hN} W_N)^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{l}+1}{N} \rfloor!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} \right) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4e^{(\alpha-r)\tau} S_0 G}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us call

$$k_+ = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} e^{2hN} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr}$$

$$k_- = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{-hr} + N \max\{W_N^2, 1\} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e^{hr}.$$

Using Lemma 5.3 we impose:

$$e^{hN+1} W_N - \left\lfloor \frac{\bar{k} + 1}{N} \right\rfloor \leq \ln \varepsilon - \ln(4S_0G) - (\alpha - r)\tau - \ln k_+$$

$$e^{-hN+1} W_N - \left\lfloor \frac{\bar{l} + 1}{N} \right\rfloor \leq \ln \varepsilon - \ln(4S_0G) - (\alpha - r)\tau - \ln k_-$$

which means

$$\bar{k} \geq N \left\lceil e^{hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_+ \right\rceil - 1$$

$$\bar{l} \geq N \left\lceil e^{-hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_- \right\rceil - 1$$

Adding the conditions for Proposition 5.11, we have:

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{N \left\lceil e^{hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_+ \right\rceil - 1, N \left\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \right\rceil - 1\} \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{l} \geq \max\{N \left\lceil e^{-hN+1} W_N - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4S_0G) + (\alpha - r)\tau + \ln k_- \right\rceil - 1, N \left\lceil 2e^{hN} W_N - 1 \right\rceil - 1\} \quad (11)$$

◇

4. Proof of Theorem 4.2

Theorem: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, considering V the HS European put option value, taking $\bar{k} \geq \max\{N \lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil - 1, N \lceil W_N e - \ln \varepsilon - r\tau + \ln(4N(N+1)KG) \rceil - 1\}$, we have that the European put option value V^{TT} obtained via truncation of the tree at levels \bar{k} and $-\bar{l}$ with $\bar{l} = \bar{k}$ satisfies

$$V - V^{TT} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof:

Taking $\bar{l} = \bar{k}$ in Equation (5.34) in the main article, we have

$$V - V^{TT} \leq 2e^{-r\tau} K(N+1) \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} \tilde{Q}_N(k) \quad (12)$$

Applying Proposition 5.11 to Equation (12) we obtain:

$$V - V^{TT} \leq 4e^{-r\tau} K(N+1)GN \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!}$$

for $\bar{k} \geq N[2W_N - 1] - 1$.

In order for it to be less than an arbitrary ε , we impose $\bar{k} \geq N[W_N e - \ln \varepsilon - r\tau + \ln(4N(N+1)KG)] - 1$.

Collecting all requirements on \bar{k} , we get

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{N[2W_N - 1] - 1, N[W_N e - \ln \varepsilon - r\tau + \ln(4N(N+1)KG)] - 1\}.$$

◇

5. Proof of Lemma 6.1

Lemma: $V_E^0(0, 0, 0) = V^{TT}$.

Proof: We want to show that the value V^{TT} coincides with the value $V_E^0(0, 0, 0)$ obtained via backward procedure according to the following formula: $V_E^0(i, j, k) = e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{l=-N}^N (V_E^0(i+1, j+1, k+l)p + V_E^0(i+1, j, k+l)(1-p))q_l$ if $k \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$, 0 otherwise; with initial data $V_E^0(n, j, k) = 0$ for j integer between 0 and n and k integer such that $-nN \leq k \leq -\bar{l} - 1$ or $\bar{k} + 1 \leq k \leq nN$, and $V_E^0(n, j, k) = (S(n, j, k) - K)^+$ for the call option, $V_E^0(n, j, k) = (K - S(n, j, k))^+$ for the put option, for j integer between 0 and n and k integer such that $-\bar{l} \leq k \leq \bar{k}$.

Let us denote as B the class of all paths on the tree that go from the node $(0, 0, 0)$ to one of the nodes (n, j, k) at maturity τ . For any $\beta \in B$ we will denote by $\text{prob}(\beta)$ the probability of following β and $\text{value}(\beta)$ the value of the option at the end of the path β . Let us denote $B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}$ the class of all the paths on the tree that go from the node $(0, 0, 0)$ to one of the nodes at maturity without trespassing the $-\bar{l}$ and \bar{k} boundaries, that is, where every node (i, j, k) of the path has $-\bar{l} \leq k \leq \bar{k}$.

The expression

$$e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot \text{value}(\beta) \quad (13)$$

coincides with V^{TT} , since they identify the same sum: every path that does not go out of the borders needs to end at a level $-\bar{l} \leq k \leq \bar{k}$; all the paths ending in a node (n, j, k) share the same value for the option, so if we collect all the addenda in (13) that end in the same node we obtain $(K - S_0 e^{(-n+2j)\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t} + hk})^+ P(j) Q_N^T(k)$ in the put case and $(S_0 e^{(-n+2j)\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t} + hk} - K)^+ P(j) Q_N^T(k)$ in the call case.

We will show that the V^{TT} as in (13) coincides with $V_E^0(0, 0, 0)$ for induction on the number of steps n .

Let us start with $n = 1$. Our tree has only one step, which means that the values at maturity of the option are given by the $2(2N + 1)$ children of $(0, 0, 0)$. In this case $\Delta t = \tau$. Let $0 \leq \bar{l}, \bar{k} \leq N$, that means that $(0, 0, 0)$ is surely in the allowed zone, while some of its children may not. Since the value of the option on the nodes $(1, j, k)$ with $k \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$ is 0, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} V_E^0(0, 0, 0) &= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-N}^N (V_E^0(1, j+1, l)p + V_E^0(1, j, l)(1-p))q_l = \\ &= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} V_E^0(1, j+1, l)pq_l + V_E^0(1, j, l)(1-p)q_l = \\ &= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot \text{value}(\beta) = V^{TT} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that in a single step the paths that trespass are those that end outside the boundary.

Let us now suppose the thesis is true for all trees with $n-1$ steps. Let us consider a tree of n steps. In this case $\Delta t = \tau/n$. We focus on the first step and compute the value of the option in $(0, 0, 0)$, with the backward procedure: $V_E^0(0, 0, 0) = e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{l=-N}^N (V_E^0(1, 1, l)p + V_E^0(1, 0, l)(1-p))q_l$.

If $l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$, $V_E^0(1, 1, l) = V_E^0(1, 0, l) = 0$. Otherwise, we consider the $n-1$ trees that start at $(1, j, l)$ with $j = 0, 1$ and $l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$ and end at τ . For such j, l , let us denote $B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}^{(1, j, l)}$ the class of all the paths on the tree that go from the node $(1, j, l)$ to one of the nodes (n, j, k) at maturity without going out of the $[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$ zone. On these smaller trees we apply induction and write the values $V_E^0(1, j, l)$ as

$$V_E^0(1, j, l) = e^{-r\tau'} \sum_{\beta' \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}^{(1, j, l)}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta')$$

where we indicated with τ' the time interval $\tau' = \Delta t(n - 1)$.

Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
V_E^0(0, 0, 0) &= e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N (V_E^0(1, 1, l)p + V_E^0(1, 0, l)(1 - p))q_l \\
&= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N \left(\sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}^{(1,1,l)}}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta') p q_l + \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}^{(1,0,l)}}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta') (1 - p) q_l \right) \\
&= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot \text{value}(\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\Delta t + \tau' = \tau$, and we considered that if a path β that connects the node $(0, 0, 0)$ to a node at maturity τ (without trespassing) visits node $(1, 0, l)$ and is afterwards identical to β' , we will have $\text{value}(\beta) = \text{value}(\beta')$ and $\text{prob}(\beta) = (1 - p)q_l \cdot \text{prob}(\beta')$, while if a path β that connects the node $(0, 0, 0)$ to a node at maturity τ (without trespassing) visits node $(1, 1, l)$ and is afterwards identical to β' , we will have $\text{value}(\beta) = \text{value}(\beta')$ and $\text{prob}(\beta) = p q_l \cdot \text{prob}(\beta')$.

◇

6. Proof of Lemma 6.2

Lemma: $V_E^b(0, 0, 0) = \widehat{V}^b$.

Proof: The proof, similar to that of Lemma 6.1, is written for induction on the number of steps n .

In this situation, in order to understand the contribution of every path to the value of the option, we are interested in when a path, going from $(0, 0, 0)$ to maturity, first crosses the boundaries. Given any $\beta \in B \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}$, we will denote with $i(\beta)$ the time index $0 \leq i \leq n$ of the first exit of β from the allowed zone $[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$.

When $n = 1$, the tree has only one step, which means that the values at maturity of the option are given by the $2(2N + 1)$ children of $(0, 0, 0)$. In this case $\Delta t = \tau$. Let $0 \leq \bar{l}, \bar{k} \leq N$, that means that $(0, 0, 0)$ is surely in the allowed zone, while some of its children may be not. Since the value of the option is b on the nodes $(1, j, k)$ with $k \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_E^b(0,0,0) &= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-N}^N (V_E^b(1, j+1, l)p + V_E^b(1, j, l)(1-p))q_l = \\
&= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} (V_E^b(1, j+1, l)pq_l + V_E^b(1, j, l)(1-p)q_l) + e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-N}^{-\bar{l}-1} b + e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=\bar{k}+1}^N b = \\
&= e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot \text{value}(\beta) + \sum_{\beta \in B \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta)} \\
&= V^{TT} + \sum_{\beta \in B \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta)} = \widehat{V}^b
\end{aligned}$$

where we take into account the fact that in a single step the paths that trespass are those that end outside the boundaries.

Let us now suppose the thesis is true for all trees with $n-1$ steps. Let us consider a tree of n steps. In this case $\Delta t = \tau/n$. We focus on the first step and compute the value of $V_E^b(0,0,0)$ with the backward procedure:

$$V_E^b(0,0,0) = e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{l=-N}^N (V_E^b(1,1,l)p + V_E^b(1,0,l)(1-p))q_l.$$

$$\text{If } l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}], V_E^b(1,1,l) = V_E^b(1,0,l) = b.$$

$$V_E^b(0,0,0) = e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N (V_E^b(1,1,l)p + V_E^b(1,0,l)(1-p))q_l + e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N bq_l$$

If $l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$, we can consider the $n-1$ trees that start at $(1, j, l)$ for $j = 0, 1$ and end at maturity τ . For any such j, l , we will denote as $B^{(1,j,l)}$ the class of all paths starting from $(1, j, l)$ and ending at maturity. For any $\beta' \in B^{(1,j,l)} \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}$, $i(\beta')$ is the time index $0 \leq i \leq n$ of the first exit of β' from the allowed zone $[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$.

We apply induction and write that the value $V_E^b(1, j, l)$ for this smaller trees is given by

$$V_E^b(1, j, l) = e^{-r\tau'} \sum_{\beta' \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}^{(1,j,l)}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta') + \sum_{\beta' \in B^{(1,j,l)} \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta')}$$

where τ' indicates $\tau' = \tau - \Delta t$, $\Delta t' = \tau'/(n-1)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
V_E^b(1, j, l) = & e^{-r\tau} \sum_{l=-N}^N \left(pq_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,1,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta') + pq_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,1,l)} \setminus B^{(1,1,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta')} + \right. \\
& \left. + (1-p)q_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,0,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot \text{value}(\beta') + (1-p)q_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,0,l)} \setminus B^{(1,0,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta')} \right) + \\
& + e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N bq_l
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 6.1, we can rewrite the previous expression introducing the values $V_E^0(1, j, l)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
V_E^b(0, 0, 0) = & e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \in [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N \left(pq_l V_E^0(1, j, l) + (1-p)q_l V_E^0(1, 0, l) + \right. \\
& \left. + pq_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,1,l)} \setminus B^{(1,1,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta')} + (1-p)q_l \sum_{\substack{\beta' \in B^{(1,0,l)} \setminus B^{(1,0,l)} \\ [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta') \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta')} \right) + \\
& + e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{\substack{l=-N \\ l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}}^N bq_l
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider a path β starting from the node $(0, 0, 0)$, visiting node $(1, j, l)$ and reaching maturity trespassing the boundaries. We call β' the path going from $(1, j, l)$ to maturity which visits the same nodes as β . If $j = 0$ then $\text{prob}(\beta) = (1-p)q_l \text{prob}(\beta')$, while if $j = 1$ $\text{prob}(\beta) = pq_l \text{prob}(\beta')$. If $l \notin [-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]$, then $i(\beta) = 1$, otherwise $i(\beta) = i(\beta') + 1$. This means we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
V_E^b(0, 0, 0) = & V_E^0(0, 0, 0) + \\
& + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]} \\ i(\beta) > 1}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta)} + \\
& + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]} \\ i(\beta) = 1}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot be^{-r\Delta i(\beta)} = \\
& = \widehat{V}^b
\end{aligned}$$

◇

7. Proof of Lemma 6.3

Lemma: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, taking $G = 2N \max\{W_N, 1\} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} M_i^2 e^{W_N}$, the values $\widehat{V}^{\bar{k}}$ and V^{TT} obtained via truncation of the tree at levels \bar{k} and $-\bar{k}$, with \bar{k} the smallest integer which satisfies:

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil - 1, N\lceil W_N e - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4N(N+1)KG) \rceil - 1\}, \text{ we have}$$

$$\left| \widehat{V}^{\bar{k}} - V^{TT} \right| < \varepsilon$$

Proof:

$$\widehat{V}^{\bar{k}} - V^{TT} = \sum_{\beta \in B \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}} \text{prob}(\beta) \cdot K e^{-r\Delta t(\beta)}$$

For brevity, let us call B^k the set of all paths in $B \setminus B_{[-\bar{l}, \bar{k}]}$ which reach a node (n, j, k) , with $0 \leq j \leq n$, at maturity. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}^{\bar{k}} - V^{TT} &\leq K \sum_{k=-Nn}^{Nn} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) \\ &\leq K \sum_{k=-Nn}^{-\bar{l}-1} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) + K \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) + K \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) \\ &\leq K \sum_{k=-Nn}^{-\bar{l}-1} Q_N(k) + K \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} Q_N(k) + \\ &\quad + K \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) + K \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} \sum_{\beta \in B^k} \text{prob}(\beta) \\ &\quad \text{first trespassing } -\bar{l} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{first trespassing } \bar{k} \\ &\leq K \sum_{k=\bar{l}+1}^{Nn} \widetilde{Q}_N(k) + K \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} \widetilde{Q}_N(k) + K \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} Q_{\bar{l}}(k) + K \sum_{k=-\bar{l}}^{\bar{k}} Q_{\bar{k}}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{V}^{\bar{k}} - V^{TT} &\leq K(N+1) \left(\sum_{k=\bar{l}+1}^{Nn} \widetilde{Q}_N(k) + \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} \widetilde{Q}_N(k) \right) \\
&\leq 2K(N+1) \sum_{k=\bar{k}+1}^{Nn} \widetilde{Q}_N(k) \\
&\leq 4K(N+1)GN \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\bar{l} = \bar{k} \geq N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil - 1$ and applying Equation (2).

We ask $\bar{k} \geq N\lceil W_N e - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4N(N+1)KG) \rceil - 1$, in order to have

$$4e^{-rT} K_0(N+1)GN \frac{W_N^{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{\bar{k}+1}{N} \rfloor!} < \varepsilon.$$

Collecting all the requirements on \bar{k} , we get that for

$$\bar{k} \geq \max\{N\lceil 2W_N - 1 \rceil - 1, N\lceil W_N e - \ln \varepsilon + \ln(4N(N+1)KG) \rceil - 1\}$$

we have

$$\left| \widehat{V}^{\bar{k}} - V^{TT} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

◇